

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

H'A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,770	04/09/2004	Ichiro Koiwa	OK1.651	8824
20987	7590 09/29/2006		EXAMINER	
	E FRANCOS, & WHITT	HOANG, QUOC DINH		
	ONE FREEDOM SQUARE 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260			PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2818	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/820,770	Applicant(s) KOIWA, ICHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Quoc D. Hoang	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		l				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju     2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This     3) □ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 2-8,15,16 and 18-27 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6,19-22 and 24-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 2,3,7,8,15,16,18,23 and 27 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/820,770

Art Unit: 2818

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Amendment filed on 07/19/2006 has been entered. Claims 2-8, 15, 16 and 18-27 are pending in the application. The examiner agrees that claims 15, 16 and 18, along with claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 23 and 27, as reading on elected species I – Fig. 5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 15, 16, 18, 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al., (US Pat No. 6,033,953) (hereinafter "Aoki") in view of Lu., (US Pat No. 5,679,596).

Regarding claim 2, Aoki teaches a ferroelectric capacitor comprising:

a bottom electrode (38) (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);

a plurality of projection electrodes (convex parts 38a) formed on the bottom electrode (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);

a ferroelectric layer (40) formed on the bottom electrode and the projection electrodes (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14); and

Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

a top electrode (37) formed on the ferroelectric layer, wherein a thickness of the ferroelectric layer on the projection electrodes is less than a thickness of the ferroelectric layer on the bottom electrode (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14).

Aoki teaches a plurality of projection electrodes, but fails to teach wherein spacing between central portions of each projection electrode has a range from 10 % to 20% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor.

However, Lu teaches wherein spacing between central portions of each projection electrode (14b) has a range from 1.5 % to 75% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor (col. 4, line 50 through col. 5, line 65 and Fig. 5). It is noted that the size of the ferroelectric capacitor is considered the width (2,000-10,000 Å) of the bottom electrode 11 (col. 4, lines 40-43), the width of the projection electrode 14b is between about 50-500 Å (col. 5, lines 17-18), and the spaces 14a between the projection electrode 14b are between about 100-1000 Å (col. 5, lines 19-21). Hence, after calculating, the spacing between central portions of each projection electrode 14b has a range from 1.5 % to 75% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor. Since Aoki and Lu are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Lu would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Aoki. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide spacing between central portions of each projection electrode in order to increase the surface area of the bottom electrode, therefore to obtain the desired increased capacitance as taught by Lu, column 5, lines 24 through col. 6, line 3. Although Lu's percentage range (1.5 % to 75%) is not the claimed range (10 % to 20%), this does not define patenable over Aoki in view of Lu

Art Unit: 2818

since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the priort art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

**Regarding claim 3,** Aoki teaches a ferroelectric capacitor comprising: a bottom electrode (38) (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);

a plurality of projection electrodes (convex parts 38a) formed on the bottom electrode (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);

a ferroelectric layer (40) formed on the bottom electrode and the projection electrodes (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14); and

a top electrode (37) formed on the ferroelectric layer, wherein a thickness of the ferroelectric layer on the projection electrodes is less than a thickness of the ferroelectric layer on the bottom electrode (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14).

Aoki teaches a plurality of projection electrodes, but fails to teach wherein wherein a size of each projection electrode has a range from 5 % to 10% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor.

However, Lu teaches wherein a size of each projection electrode (14b) has a range from 0.5 % to 25% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor (col. 4, line 50 through col. 5, line 65 and Fig. 5). It is noted that the size of the ferroelectric capacitor is considered the width (2,000-10,000 Å) of the bottom electrode 11 (col. 4, lines 40-43), the size of each projection electrode is considered the width of the projection electrode 14b, which is between about 50-500 Å (col. 5, lines 17-18). Hence, after calculating, a size of each projection electrode has a range from 0.5 % to 25% of a size of the

ferroelectric capacitor. Since Aoki and Lu are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Lu would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Aoki. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a size of each projection electrode has a range from 5 % to 10% of a size of the ferroelectric capacitor in order to increase the surface area of the bottom electrode, therefore to obtain the desired increased capacitance as taught by Lu, column 5, lines 24 through col. 6, line 3. Although Lu's percentage range (0.5 % to 25%) is not the claimed range (5 % to 10%), this does not define patenable over Aoki in view of Lu since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the priort art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 7, Aoki teaches a ferroelectric capacitor comprising: a bottom electrode (38) (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);

a plurality of projection electrodes (convex parts 38a) formed on the bottom electrode (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);

a ferroelectric layer (40) formed on the bottom electrode and the projection electrodes (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14); and

a top electrode (37) formed on the ferroelectric layer, wherein a thickness of the ferroelectric layer on the projection electrodes is less than a thickness of the ferroelectric layer on the bottom electrode (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14).

Aoki teaches a plurality of projection electrodes, but fails to teach wherein the projection electrodes are arranged evenly spaced on the bottom electrode.

However, Lu teaches wherein the projection electrodes (14b) are arranged evenly spaced on the bottom electrode 11 (col. 4, line 50 through col. 5, line 65 and Fig. 5). It is noted that the evenly spaced between the projection electrodes 14b is the width of the crevice or space 14a (col. 5, lines 19-21). Since Aoki and Lu are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Lu would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Aoki. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide evenly spaced between the projection electrodes in order to increase the surface area of the bottom electrode, therefore to obtain the desired increased capacitance as taught by Lu, column 5, lines 24 through col. 6, line 3.

Regarding claim 8, Aoki teaches wherein the bottom electrode 38 and the projection electrodes 38a are made of a same material (platinum) (col. 1, lines 50-55 and Fig. 14).

Regarding claim 15, Aoki teaches a ferroelectric capacitor comprising:

- a first electrode (38) (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);
- a second electrode (37) (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);
- a ferroelectric layer (40) which is sandwiched between the first electrode and the second electrode (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);

a plurality of third electrodes (convex parts 38a) formed between the first electrode and the second electrode, wherein a thickness of the ferroelectric layer on the projection electrodes is less than a thickness of the ferroelectric layer on the bottom electrode (col. 1, lines 14-57 and Fig. 14);

Aoki teaches a plurality of third electrodes, but fails to teach wherein the third electrodes are arranged evenly spaced.

However, Lu teaches wherein the third electrodes (14b) are arranged evenly spaced (col. 4, line 50 through col. 5, line 65 and Fig. 5). It is noted that the evenly spaced between the projection electrodes 14b is the width of the crevice or space 14a (col. 5, lines 19-21). Since Aoki and Lu are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Lu would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Aoki. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide evenly spaced between the third electrodes in order to increase the surface area of the first electrode, therefore to obtain the desired increased capacitance as taught by Lu, column 5, lines 24 through col. 6, line 3.

Regarding claim 16, Aoki teaches wherein the third electrodes (14b) are formed on the first electrode (col. 4, line 50 through col. 5, line 65 and Fig. 5).

Regarding claim 18, Aoki teaches wherein the first electrode (38) and the third electrodes (38a) are made of a same material (platinum) (col. 1, lines 50-55 and Fig. 14).

Regarding claim 23, Aoki teaches wherein the bottom electrode 38 and the projection electrodes 38a are made of a same material (platinum) (col. 1, lines 50-55 and Fig. 14).

Regarding claim 27, Aoki teaches wherein the bottom electrode 38 and the projection electrodes 38a are made of a same material (platinum) (col. 1, lines 50-55 and Fig. 14).

Application/Control Number: 10/820,770 Page 8

Art Unit: 2818

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8.00 AM to 5.00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MinSun Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone numbers of the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quoc Hoang Patent examiner/AU 2818 Da/24/2006